District Status Detail Page 1 of 4

YEAR 2008-2009

Select An Option

Help Home Log Out



## **Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas**

## 2008-2009 DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: HENRIETTA ISD(039902)		Publication Level 1: 6/11/2010 12:03:10 PM				
Status: Passed		Publication Level 2: 8/30/2010 4:06:59 PM				
Rating: Superior Achievement		Last Updated: 8/30/2010 4:06:59 PM				
District Score: 77		Passing Score: 56				
#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score			
1	Was The Total Fund Balance Less Res Greater Than Zero In The General Fu	3/30/2010 2:07:32 PM	Yes			
2	Was the Total Unrestricted Net Asset Accretion of Interest on Capital Appre Governmental Activities Column in th Assets Greater than Zero? (If the Dis in Students was 10% more)	3/30/2010 2:07:32 PM	Yes			
3	Were There No Disclosures In The An And/Or Other Sources Of Information Bonded Indebtedness Obligations?	3/30/2010 2:07:33 PM	Yes			
4	Was The Annual Financial Report File After November 27th or January 28th Upon The District's Fiscal Year End Dis 31st)?	3/30/2010 2:07:33 PM	Yes			
5	Was There An Unqualified Opinion in	3/30/2010 2:07:33 PM	Yes			
6	Did The Annual Financial Report Not Of Material Weaknesses In Internal C		3/30/2010 2:07:33 PM	Yes		
				1 Multiplier		

District Status Detail Page 2 of 4

			Sum
7	<u>Did the Districts Academic Rating Exceed Academically</u> <u>Unacceptable?</u>	3/30/2010 2:07:33 PM	5
8	Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of Total Tax Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%?	3/30/2010 2:07:34 PM	5
9	Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?	5/26/2010 8:53:31 AM	5
10	Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)	3/30/2010 2:07:34 PM	2
11	Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?	3/30/2010 2:07:34 PM	5
12	Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)	3/30/2010 2:07:35 PM	5
13	Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?	3/30/2010 2:07:35 PM	5
14	If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)	3/30/2010 2:07:35 PM	5
15	Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable)	3/30/2010 2:07:35 PM	5
16	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio?	3/30/2010 2:07:36 PM	5
17	Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	3/30/2010 2:07:36 PM	5

District Status Detail Page 3 of 4

18	Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	3/30/2010 2:07:36 PM	5
19	Was The Total Fund Balance In The General Fund More Than 50% And Less Than 150% Of Optimum According To The Fund Balance And Cash Flow Calculation Worksheet In The Annual Financial Report?	3/30/2010 2:07:36 PM	5
20	Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If 1.5 Times Optimum Fund Balance < Total Fund Balance In General Fund Or If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund,Then District Receives 5 Points)	3/30/2010 2:07:37 PM	5
21	Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than \$0?	3/30/2010 2:07:37 PM	5
22	Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) More Than \$20 Per Student?	3/30/2010 2:07:37 PM	5
			77 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			77 Score

# **DETERMINATION OF RATING**

A.	Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, 3 Or 4? OR Did The District Answer 'No' To Both 5 and 6? If So, The District's Rating Is Substandard Achievement.				
B.	Determine Rating By Applicable Range For summation of the indicator scores (Indicators 7 22)				
	Superior Achievement	72-80 and Yes to indicator 7			
	Above Standard Achievement	64-71 or >= 72 and No to indicator 7			
	Standard Achievement	56-63			
	Substandard Achievement	<56 or No to one default indicator			

District Status Detail Page 4 of 4

### **INDICATOR 17 & 18 RATIOS**

Indicator 17	Ranges for Ratios			Indicator 18	Ranges Ratios	
District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High		District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High
< 500	7	22		< 500	5	14
500-999		22		500-999	5.8	14
1000-4999	11.5	22		1000-4999	6.3	14
5000-9999	13	22	6 F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	5000-9999	6.8	14
=> 10000	13.5	22		=> 10000	7.0	14

#### **OPTIONS**

Suspension Reason.	Opuate Air	Lower rabilitation Level	Ouspella	
Undate Unnassed	Undate All	Lower Publication Level	Suspend	

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE  $\cdot$  AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701  $\cdot$  (512) 463-9734